

Lagrangian Floer Cohomology of $\mathbb{R}P^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$

Kyler Siegel

February 5, 2013

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Choosing a Convenient Isotopy ϕ	5
3	Monotonicity and $\Sigma \geq 3$	6
4	Compactness	8
5	Triviality of the Boundary Operator	8
6	Regularity of (ϕ, J)	9

1 Introduction

In [Oh1], Oh defines Lagrangian Floer cohomology for any monotone Lagrangian $L \subset P$ in a symplectic manifold (P, ω) , under a certain topological assumption. More precisely, for any Hamiltonian isotopy $\phi = \{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ of P such that $\phi_1(L)$ intersects L transversally, he defines a relatively graded \mathbb{Z}_2 -module $I^*(L, \phi : P)$ which is shown to be independent of the isotopy ϕ . This extends the definition of Floer's celebrated homology to many cases with nontrivial $\pi_2(P, L)$.

More precisely, recall that for any Lagrangian $L \subset P$ in a symplectic manifold (P, ω) we have two homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} I_\omega &: \pi_2(P, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ I_{\mu, L} &: \pi_2(P, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

If $f : (D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow (P, L)$ is a smooth map of pairs, $I_\omega([f])$ is defined by

$$I_\omega([f]) = \int_{D^2} f^* \omega.$$

To define $I_{\mu,L}$, we first pick a symplectic trivialization of f^*TP and use this to identify $f|\partial D^2$ with a map $f_\partial : \partial D^2 \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$, where $\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the space of Lagrangian linear subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n . Letting $\mu \in H^1(\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathbb{Z})$ denote the Maslov cycle, we define $I_{\mu,L}$ by

$$I_{\mu,L}([f]) = \mu([f_\partial]).$$

We say that $L \subset P$ is *monotone* if

$$I_{\mu,L} = \lambda I_\omega$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Let Σ_L denote the positive generator of the subgroup $I_{\mu,L}(\pi_2(P, L)) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. We define

$$I(L, \phi : P) = \{x \in \phi_1(L) \cap L \mid [t \mapsto \phi_t^{-1}(x)] = 0 \in \pi_1(P, L)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^* = \mathbb{Z}_2 \langle I(L, \phi : P) \rangle.$$

The relevant theorem from [Oh1] can now be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let L be a monotone Lagrangian submanifold in (P, ω) and $\phi = \{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be a Hamiltonian isotopy of P such that L intersects $\phi_1(L)$ transversally. Suppose $\Sigma_L \geq 3$. Then there exists a homomorphism*

$$\delta : \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$$

with $\delta \circ \delta = 0$ such that the quotients

$$I^*(L, \phi : P) := \text{Ker } \delta / \text{Im } \delta$$

are isomorphic as relatively \mathbb{Z}/Σ -graded $\mathbb{Z}/2$ modules for any Hamiltonian isotopy ϕ , provided L intersects $\phi_1(L)$ transversally.

We denote the common module by $I^*(L : P)$.

Remark 1.2 *It is important to note here that, when well-defined, $I^*(L, \phi : P)$ ultimately a Hamiltonian isotopy invariant of the Lagrangian L sitting inside the symplectic manifold (P, ω) , even though its construction a priori depends on the isotopy ϕ and a choice of appropriate almost complex structure J on P .*

Now let us consider the Lagrangian $\mathbb{R}P^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$, where $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is given the standard Kahler symplectic form ω coming from the Fubini-Study metric and $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is the fixed point set of the anti-holomorphic involutive isometry σ given in homogeneous coordinates by

$$\sigma([z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n]) = [\bar{z}_0 : \bar{z}_1 : \dots : \bar{z}_n].$$

As we show in Section 3, $\mathbb{R}P^n$ here is actually a monotone Lagrangian, and we indeed have $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}P^n} = n + 1 \geq 3$ for $n \geq 2$. Thus $I^*(\mathbb{R}P^n : \mathbb{C}P^n)$ is well-defined for $n \geq 2$ ¹ and our goal in these notes is to prove the following theorem, following [Oh2].

¹Actually $I^*(\mathbb{R}P^1 : \mathbb{C}P^1)$ is also well-defined and gives the expected outcome, as Oh shows by a more careful analysis of the disk bubbling that can occur.

Theorem 1.3 *Assume $n \geq 2$, and let $\mathbb{R}P^n$ and $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega)$ be as above. Then*

$$I^*(\mathbb{R}P^n : \mathbb{C}P^n) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}P^n, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{n+1}$$

as relatively $\mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$ -graded modules.

One immediate corollary is a version of the Arnold conjecture:

Corollary 1.4 *For any Hamiltonian isotopy $\phi = \{\phi_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\mathbb{R}P^n$ intersects $\phi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$ transversally, we have*

$$\#(\mathbb{R}P^n \cap \phi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)) \geq n + 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2} H^*(\mathbb{R}P^n, \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we should first give some more details about the definition of $\delta : \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$. Roughly speaking, δ counts holomorphic strips with Lagrangian boundary conditions between intersection points in $L \cap \phi_1(L)$. For this we pick an almost complex structure J on P which is compatible with ω (i.e. $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ defines a Riemannian metric on P). It can be shown that for “generic” such J , the relevant moduli spaces of holomorphic strips form manifolds, whose dimensions are controlled by the so-called “Maslov-Viterbo index”. For sufficiently generic J , Oh uses a version of Gromov’s Compactness Theorem and the assumption $\Sigma_L \geq 3$ to show that δ is well-defined (i.e. the relevant count is finite) and $\delta \circ \delta = 0$.

To make this rigorous, we need to make some definitions. Let $x, y \in I(L, \phi : P)$.

Definition 1.5

1. $\Theta := \{a + bi \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq b \leq 1\}$
2. $\Omega_\phi := \{z : I \rightarrow P \mid z(0) \in L, z(1) \in \phi_1(L), [t \mapsto \phi_t^{-1}z(t)] = 0 \in \pi_1(P, L)\}$
3. $\mathcal{P}_\phi := \{u \in L_k^2(\Theta, P) \mid u(\tau, 0) \subset L, u(\tau, 1) \subset \phi_1(L), u(\tau, \cdot) \in \Omega_\phi \forall \tau\}$
4. $\mathcal{M}_{J,\phi} := \{u \in \mathcal{P}_\phi \mid \bar{\partial}_J u := \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} + J \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = 0, \int_\Theta \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 dt d\tau < \infty\}$
5. $\mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}(x, y) := \{u \in \mathcal{M}_{J,\phi} \mid \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} u = x, \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} u = y\}$
6. $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J,\phi}(x, y) := \mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}(x, y) / \mathbb{R}$.
7. $\mathcal{L}_u := \{\xi \in L_{k-1}^2(\Theta, TP) \mid \xi(\theta) \in T_{u(\theta)}P\}$.

Here \mathcal{L} forms a Banach bundle over \mathcal{P}_ϕ , and $\bar{\partial}_J$ gives a section $\mathcal{P}_\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. We denote by

$$E_u = D\bar{\partial}_J(u) : T_u \mathcal{P}_\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_u$$

the covariant linearization of $\bar{\partial}_J$ at u .

Now we are ready to state under what conditions we can define $I^*(L, \phi : P) = \text{Ker} \delta / \text{Im} \delta$. In fact, the complex \mathcal{C}^* will depend on the choice of a “nice” compatible almost complex

structure J , although it can be shown that the cohomology $I^*(L, \phi : P)$ is independent of the choice of J . Indeed, for a given J , the chain complex $\delta : \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$ can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta x &:= \sum_{y \in I(L, \phi : P)} y \langle y, \delta x \rangle, \\ \langle y, \delta x \rangle &:= \sum_{y \in I(L, \phi, P)} \# \left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J, \phi}(y, x) \right) \pmod{2},\end{aligned}$$

where $\# \left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J, \phi}(x, y) \right)$ denotes the number of zero-dimensional components of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J, \phi}(x, y)$, under the conditions:

1. (ϕ, J) is *regular*, i.e. $\text{Coker} E_u = 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}_{J, \phi}(x, y)$ and for all $x, y \in I(L, \phi : P)$
2. $\# \left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J, \phi}(x, y) \right)$ is finite for all $x, y \in I(L, \phi : P)$
3. $\sum_{y \in I(L, \phi)} \langle x, \delta y \rangle \langle y, \delta z \rangle = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ for any $x, z \in I(L, \phi : P)$

We future ease, we'll call a pair (ϕ, J) satisfying these conditions *admissible*. Evidently any admissible pair (ϕ, J) gives rise to a chain complex (\mathcal{C}^*, δ) with cohomology equal to $I^*(L : P)$.

We can now break up the proof of Theorem 1.3 as follows. In Section 2 we show how to pick a convenient Hamiltonian isotopy ϕ satisfying $|I(L, \phi : P)| = |L \cap \phi_1(L)| = n + 1$ by exploiting the automorphism group of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. We then show that the standard integrable J on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ indeed satisfies the above conditions, using:

Proposition 1.6 (Regularity) *Let $L = \mathbb{R}P^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ be the standard one and (J, ϕ) as above. Then the pair (ϕ, J) is regular, i.e. the linearization E_u is surjective for all $u \in \mathcal{M}_{J, \phi}$.*

Proposition 1.7 (Compactness) *Under the above hypotheses, the zero-dimensional component of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J, \phi}$ is compact and the one-dimensional component of $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J, \phi}$ is compact up to the splitting of two-trajectories.*

Proposition 1.7 implies that $\delta \circ \delta = 0$ in the usual way by noticing that compact one-dimensional manifolds have an even number of boundary points and using the standard gluing technique for broken trajectories.

Finally, we show:

Proposition 1.8 (Vanishing) *Under the same hypotheses, $\delta \equiv 0$.*

In summary, given the construction of Langrangian Floer Cohomology for monotone Lagrangians with $\Sigma \geq 3$ as stated in Theorem 1.1, the computation of $I^*(\mathbb{R}P^n : \mathbb{C}P^n)$ involves the following steps:

- Show that $\mathbb{R}P^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ is a monotone Lagrangian with $\Sigma \geq 3$

- Choose a convenient Hamiltonian isotopy ϕ and show that it satisfies $|I(L, \phi : P)| = |L \cap \phi_1(L)| = n + 1$
- Choose an almost complex structure J , namely the standard integrable one, and show that the pair (ϕ, J) is admissible, i.e. (ϕ, J) is regular and we have appropriate compactness statements to conclude that δ is well-defined and $\delta \circ \delta = 0$
- Show that the boundary operator δ is trivial.

In the following sections, we give a rough sketch of these steps. We refer the reader to [Oh2] for more of the details.

2 Choosing a Convenient Isotopy ϕ

Recall that $G = PU(n + 1)$ is the group of biholomorphic isometries of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, and has a maximal torus $T^n \subset G$. Actually the action of G is Hamiltonian, with moment map of the action of T^n , $\Phi : \mathbb{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$, given by

$$f_\xi(x) := \langle \Phi(x), \xi \rangle = \frac{\bar{x}^t \xi x}{2\pi i \|x\|^2}$$

where $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\|x\|^2 = x_0 \bar{x}_0 + \dots + x_n \bar{x}_n$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t} =$ the Lie algebra of T^n . Using this one easily checks that

$$\sigma^* f_\xi = f_\xi,$$

where σ is the anti-holomorphic involutive isometry as before. From this we have

$$\sigma^* \xi_{\mathbb{C}P^n} = -\xi_{\mathbb{C}P^n},$$

where $\xi_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ is the vector field on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ associated to ξ by the action of T^n . Letting ψ_t denote the flow of $\xi_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$, we then have

$$\sigma \psi_t \sigma = \psi_t^{-1}.$$

Now since $\xi_{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbb{R}P^n$, we have

$$\mathbb{R}P^n \cap \psi_t(\mathbb{R}P^n) = \text{Crit}(f_\xi)$$

for $t \neq 0$ sufficiently small. One can check that

$$\#(\text{Crit} f_\xi) = n + 1.$$

We now choose $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ such the corresponding flow ψ_t is periodic with period one, and then define $\phi_t = \psi_{t/2^N}$ for N sufficiently large. This gives an flow ϕ_t such that

- $\phi_1^{2^N} = \text{id}$
- $\#(L \cap \phi_t(L)) = n + 1$
- $\sigma\phi_t\sigma = \phi_t^{-1}$
- ϕ_t is a biholomorphic isometry for all t .

Remark 2.1 *We note that $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{R}P^n) = 0$, and therefore we need worry about whether paths created in $\pi_1(P, L)$ are trivial.*

3 Monotonicity and $\Sigma \geq 3$

In this section we prove that the standard $\mathbb{R}P^n \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ is a monotone Lagrangian. Firstly, we claim that $P = \mathbb{C}P^n$ is a monotone symplectic manifold, i.e. there exists some $\lambda > 0$ such that for any $u : S^2 \rightarrow P$ we have

$$c_1(u^*T\mathbb{C}P^n)[S^2] = \alpha \int_{S^2} u^*\omega.$$

Indeed, $\pi_2(P) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$, i.e. a J -holomorphic map

$$u : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n,$$

which therefore has $\int_{S^2} u^*\omega$ equal to the symplectic area of u , which is positive. On the other hand, recall that we have the characterization

$$T\mathbb{C}P^n = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma, \gamma^\perp),$$

where γ is the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then writing 1 for the trivial line bundle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T\mathbb{C}P^n \oplus 1 &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma, \gamma^\perp) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma, \gamma) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma, \oplus^{n+1}1) \\ &\cong \bar{\gamma}^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$c_1(T\mathbb{C}P^n) = (n + 1)c_1(\bar{\gamma}),$$

and it follows by naturality of Chern classes that

$$c_1(u^*T\mathbb{C}P^n)[S^2] = n + 1,$$

which is also positive.

Before proving that $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is monotone, we record a useful lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $f, f' : (D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow (P, L)$ be smooth maps of pairs with*

$$f|_{\partial D^2} = f'|_{\partial D^2}.$$

Let u denote the corresponding map from $S^2 = D^2 \cup \overline{D}^2$ to P defined by gluing, i.e.

$$u(z) = \begin{cases} f(z) & : z \in D^2 \\ f'(z) & : z \in \overline{D}^2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mu(f) - \mu(f') = 2c_1(P, \omega)[u].$$

Proof Indeed, since any symplectic vector bundle over D^2 is trivial, we can view $u^*(P, \omega)$ as being defined by an element $[u_\partial] \in \pi_1(\mathrm{Sp}(2n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Then $[u_\partial] \in \mathbb{Z}$ gives $c_1(P, \omega)[u]$, and its image under the map

$$\pi_1(\mathrm{Sp}(2n)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\Lambda(\mathbb{C}^n))$$

gives $\mu(f) - \mu(f')$. But the above map can be identified with

$$\times 2 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we establish monotonicity using the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 *Let (P, ω) be a monotone symplectic manifold with monotonicity constant $\alpha > 0$, and let $\sigma : P \rightarrow P$ be an anti-symplectic involution with nonempty fixed point set $L = \mathrm{Fix} \sigma$. Then L is a monotone Lagrangian.*

Proof Let $f : (D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow (P, L)$ be a smooth map of pairs, and let $f'(z) = \sigma \circ f(z)$. Then $f|_{\partial D^2} = f'|_{\partial D^2}$ and so we can glue f and f' as in Lemma 3.1 to get a map $u : S^2 \rightarrow P$. From Lemma 3.1, we have

$$2\mu(f) = \mu(f) - \mu(f') = 2c_1(u),$$

i.e.

$$\mu(f) = c_1(u).$$

An easy calculation also shows that we have

$$\int_{S^2} u^* \omega = 2 \int_{D^2} f^* \omega$$

since σ is anti-symplectic. Thus we have

$$\mu(f) = c_1(u) = \alpha[\omega](u) = 2\alpha[\omega](f),$$

i.e.

$$I_{\mu, L}([f]) = 2\alpha I_\omega([f]).$$

Remark 3.3 *Note that from the formula $\mu(f) = c_1(u)$ and our above computation it easily follows that $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}P^n} = n + 1$.*

4 Compactness

In this section our goal is to prove Proposition 1.7, assuming regularity of (ϕ, J) . This will follow from a form of Gromov's Compactness Theorem. Roughly speaking, this says that for any sequence $u_i \in \mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$ with constant Maslov-Viterbo index I and uniformly bounded energy, there exists a subsequence converging to some $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{w})$, where \underline{u} is a broken k -trajectory in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J,\phi}$, \underline{v} is a collection of finite energy J -holomorphic spheres, and \underline{w} is a collection of finite energy J -holomorphic disks. Moreover, we have the following index formula:

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Index}(u_i) + 2 \sum_j c_1(v_j) + \sum_l \mu(w_l).$$

Here $\text{Index}(u_i)$ denotes the Maslov-Viterbo index of u_i , which can also be shown to be the local dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}$ near u_i , and therefore in particular is nonnegative. Moreover, since the v_j 's and w_l 's are J -holomorphic, monotonicity implies that the second and third sums above must also be nonnegative. But for nontrivial v_j or w_l we would then have

$$|2c_1(v_j)|, |\mu(w_l)| \geq \Sigma \geq 3.$$

This shows that for $I = 1, 2$ there can be no sphere or disk bubbles, hence the Proposition.

5 Triviality of the Boundary Operator

Next we prove that $\delta \equiv 0$, again assuming regularity of (ϕ, J) . By the definition of δ , it suffices to show that the finite number $\# \left(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J,\phi}(x, y) \right)$ is always even. We will exhibit a fixed point free involution on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$ which associates $u \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$ with

$$\bar{u} = \phi_1^{2^l} u$$

for some $1 < l \leq N - 1$ (recall that $\phi_1^{2^N} = \text{id}$).

Using the relation $\sigma \phi_1 \sigma = \phi_1^{-1}$, we have for any $p \in L = \text{Fix } \sigma = \mathbb{R}P^n$:

$$\sigma \phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(p) = \sigma \phi_1^{2^{N-1}} \sigma(p) = \left(\phi_1^{2^{N-1}} \right)^{-1}(p) = \phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(p),$$

hence $\phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(p) \in L$. Then since $\phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(\mathbb{R}P^n) = \mathbb{R}P^n$ and $\phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(\phi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)) = \phi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n)$, it follows that for $u \in \mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$ we have again $\phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(u) \in \mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$.

Now if $\phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(u) \neq u$, we set $\bar{u} := \phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(u)$ (one can show that \bar{u} cannot be a translation of u since ϕ_t is perpendicular to L). On the other hand, if $\phi_1^{2^{N-1}}(u) \equiv u$, we can repeat the above, with N replaced by $N - 1$, to get an element $\phi_1^{2^{N-2}}(u) \in \mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$. As before, if $\phi_1^{2^{N-2}}(u) \neq u$, we set $\bar{u} := \phi_1^{2^{N-2}}(u)$, otherwise we repeat the process. By choosing N sufficiently large from the beginning so that

$$\phi_1^2 u \neq u$$

for any such u , we can guarantee that this process eventually terminates. Moreover, it is easy to check that the pairing $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ indeed gives a well-defined fixed point free involution on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$.

6 Regularity of (ϕ, J)

Finally, we sketch a proof of Proposition 1.6, which we have been postponing until now. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}_{J,\phi}(x, y)$. Recall that $\bar{\partial}_J$ gives a section of the bundle

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\phi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_u &= \{\xi \in L_{k-1}^2(\Theta, TP) \mid \xi(\theta) \in T_{u(\theta)}P\} \\ \mathcal{P}_\phi &= \{u \in L_k^2(\Theta, P) \mid u(\tau, 0) \subset L, u(\tau, 1) \subset \phi_1(L) \forall \tau\} \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to show that the covariant linearization $E_u = D\bar{\partial}_J(u) : T_u\mathcal{P}_\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_u$ at u is surjective.

Let $\xi \in T_u\mathcal{P}_\phi$, and let u_s be a path in \mathcal{P}_ϕ with $u_0 = u$ and $(d/ds)|_{s=0}u_s = \xi$. Then we have

$$E_u(\xi) = \nabla_s|_{s=0}\bar{\partial}_J(u_s).$$

Using the fact that $\nabla J = 0$, a short computation shows that

$$E_u(\xi) = (\nabla_\tau + J\nabla_t)\xi,$$

i.e. E_u looks like a covariant version of the $\bar{\partial}_J$ operator.

Let $(E_u)^*$ be the adjoint of E_u . Then to show that $\text{Coker}(E_u) = 0$, it will suffice to show that $\eta = \text{Ker}(E_u)^*$ implies that $\eta = 0$. Using the relation

$$\langle \xi, (E_u)^*\eta \rangle_2 = \langle E_u\xi, \eta \rangle_2$$

and massaging the right hand side, one can prove the following characterization of the cokernel:

$$\text{Coker}E_u = \{\eta \in L_{k-1}^2(\Theta, u^*TP) \mid -\nabla_\tau\eta + J\nabla_t\eta = 0, \eta(\tau, 1) \in T\phi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n), \eta(\tau, 0) \in T\mathbb{R}P^n\}.$$

Now we show how by reflecting u $2^N - 1$ times we can get a (finite energy) J -holomorphic map from the cylinder

$$C_{2^N} = (\mathbb{R} \times i[0, 2^N]) / ((a, 0) \sim (a, 2^N))$$

to P . Indeed, let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= \phi_1\sigma\phi_1^{-1}, \\ u_1(\tau, t) &:= \sigma_1u(\tau, 1 - t). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since σ is anti-holomorphic, u_1 is J -holomorphic, and one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fix } \phi_1 \sigma \phi_1^{-1} &= \phi_1(\mathbb{R}P^n) \\ u_1(\tau, 0) &\in \phi_1(L) \\ u_1(\tau, 1) &\in \phi_1^2(u(\tau, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 &:= \phi_1^2 \sigma \phi_1^{-2} \\ u_2(\tau, t) &:= \sigma_2 u_1(\tau, 1 - t). \end{aligned}$$

We can repeat this process, defining u_3, u_4, \dots , and it is not hard to show using $\phi_1^{2^N} = \text{id}$ that $u_{2^N} \equiv u$, and we therefore get the promised J -holomorphic map

$$C_{2^N} \rightarrow P.$$

We can now appeal to a standard removal of singularities theorem:

Theorem 6.1 (*Removal of singularities*) *Let (P, ω) be a symplectic manifold with compatible almost complex structure J , and let $u : D^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow P$ be a J -holomorphic map such that $\int_{D^2 \setminus \{0\}} u^* \omega < \infty$. Then u extends to a J -holomorphic map on D^2 .*

By the removal of singularities theorem and the fact that C_{2^N} is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, we can extend our map $C_{2^N} \rightarrow P$ to a J -holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$.

Now by applying the same reflection process to our η (which we are trying to show is identically 0), we get a section $\bar{\eta}$ of $f^*(T\mathbb{C}P^n)$ which is anti-holomorphic, which corresponds to a holomorphic section of $f^*(T^*\mathbb{C}P^n)$. Now the fact that $\eta = 0$ follows from the following classical result:

Lemma 6.2 *Let $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a non-constant holomorphic map with respect to the standard integrable almost complex structures on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then there is no nontrivial holomorphic section of $f^*(T^*\mathbb{C}P^n)$.*

Proof By Grothendieck's splitting theorem for holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1$, $E := f^*(T^*\mathbb{C}P^n)$ splits as a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles

$$E = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n.$$

Using the large symmetry group of $\mathbb{C}P^n$, it is not hard to show that each L_i must admit a nontrivial holomorphic section which is zero at a point. This means that $c_1(L_i) > 0$ for each i , and therefore for each i we have

$$c_1(L_i^*) = -c_1(L_i) < 0.$$

Since $f^*(T^*\mathbb{C}P^n) \cong E^* \cong L_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus L_n^*$, $f^*(T^*\mathbb{C}P^n)$ cannot admit a nontrivial holomorphic section.

References

- [Flo] A. Floer. Morse theory for Lagrangian intersections. *J. Differential Geom* **28**(1988), 513–547.
- [Oh1] Y.G. Oh. Floer cohomology of lagrangian intersections and pseudo-holomorphic disks I. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* **46**(1993), 949–993.
- [Oh2] Y.G. Oh. Floer cohomology of lagrangian intersections and pseudo-holomorphic disks II:($\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{R}P^n$). *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* **46**(1993), 995–1012.