

# Mirror Symmetry: Introduction to the B Model

Kyler Siegel

February 23, 2014

## 1 Introduction

Recall that mirror symmetry predicts the existence of pairs  $X, \check{X}$  of Calabi-Yau manifolds whose Hodge diamonds are mirror images of each other, i.e.  $H^q(X, \Lambda^p TX) \simeq H^q(\check{X}, \Omega^p \check{X})$ . In fact, mirror symmetry reflects much more than just the Hodge structures, and we also get an isomorphism between the “Yukawa couplings” on  $H^1(X, TX)$  and  $H^{1,1}(\check{X})$ , which give product structures  $H^1(X, TX) \otimes H^1(X, TX) \rightarrow H^1(X, TX)$  and  $H^{1,1}(\check{X}) \otimes H^{1,1}(\check{X}) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(\check{X})$ . The coupling on  $H^1(X, TX)$  is defined in terms of Gromov-Witten invariants of  $X$  and often contains deep enumerative information about  $X$ . For example, when  $X$  is the quintic threefold, it is much easier to calculate the Yukawa couplings for the mirror  $\check{X}$ , and mirror symmetry then gives astonishing formulas for the number of degree  $d$  rational curves on  $X$ , for *all*  $d$ .

We aim to give a more refined statement of mirror symmetry in terms of the complex and Kahler moduli spaces of  $X$  and  $\check{X}$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{cx}}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{kah}}(\check{X})$  denote the complex and Kahler moduli spaces of  $X$  and  $\check{X}$  respectively. The former is defined to be the moduli space of complex structures on  $X$ . Assuming  $h^{2,0}(\check{X}) = 0$ , the latter can be defined in terms of the Kahler cone  $K(\check{X}) \subset H^2(\check{X}, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of all Kahler classes. Namely, we define  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{kah}}(\check{X})$  to be  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(\check{X})/\text{Aut}(\check{X})$ , where  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(\check{X})$  is the “complexified Kahler space” given by

$$K_{\mathbb{C}}(\check{X}) = \{\omega \in H^2(\check{X}, \mathbb{C}) \mid \text{Im}(\omega) \in K(\check{X})\} / \text{im} H^2(\check{X}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The goal of this talk is to discuss the following statement of mirror symmetry:

**Conjecture 1.1** *Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow (D^*)^s$  be a family of Calabi-Yau 3-folds with a large complex structure limit (LCSL) point at 0. Then there is another Calabi-Yau 3-fold  $\check{X}$  and a choice of bases*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_s & \text{ for } H_3(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ e_1, \dots, e_3 & \text{ on } H^2(\check{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

giving rise to a locally defined map

$$\begin{aligned} m : \mathcal{M}_{cx}(X) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{kah}(\check{X}), \\ (q_1, \dots, q_s) &\mapsto (\check{q}_1, \dots, \check{q}_s), \end{aligned}$$

such that the Yukawa couplings match:

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} \right\rangle_p = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{q}_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{q}_j}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{q}_k} \right\rangle_{m(p)}.$$

Here the LCSL condition essentially corresponds to a complexified Kahler form  $[B + i\omega]$  for  $\omega$  sufficiently positive. We will show that the bases give rise to local coordinates  $q_i$  and  $\check{q}_i$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{cx}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{kah}(\check{X})$  and thus the map  $m$ .

**Example 1.2** Consider the family of elliptic curves

$$C_t = \{y^2z = x^3 + x^2z - tz^3\} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2.$$

Note that  $C_t$  is smooth for  $t \neq 0$ , and  $C_0$  has a nodal singularity. As  $t$  travels around the origin, the monodromy is a Dehn twist around a vanishing cycle. The induced map on homology  $H_1(C_{t_0}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle a, b \rangle$  is given by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Using period integrals, we replace the ad hoc parameter  $t$  with a natural local coordinate  $q$  for the family. First, equip each  $C_t$  with a holomorphic volume form  $\Omega_t$  such that, for each  $t$ ,

$$\int_a \Omega_t = 1.$$

Now let

$$\tau(t) = \int_b \Omega_t.$$

Abstractly, we have  $C_t \simeq \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau(t)\mathbb{Z})$ . As  $t$  goes around the origin,  $\tau(t)$  goes to  $\tau(t) + \int_a \Omega_{t_0} = \tau(t) + 1$ . Therefore  $q(t) := e^{2\pi i \tau(t)}$  is single-valued and gives a local coordinate for the family. As  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\text{Im } \tau(t) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q(t) \rightarrow 0$ . This is an example of a LCSL.

## 2 Deformations of Complex Structures

For a given Calabi-Yau manifold, we would like to study the local structure of the moduli space of complex structures. To start, let  $(X, J)$  be an almost complex manifold. Recall that we have a decomposition

$$TX \otimes \mathbb{C} = TX_J^{1,0} \oplus TX_J^{0,1}$$

of the complexified tangent bundle of  $X$  into the  $i$  and  $-i$  eigenspaces respectively of  $J$ . Note that for  $v \in TX \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $v = v_J^{1,0} + v_J^{0,1}$ , with  $v_J^{1,0} = \frac{1}{2}(v - iJv) \in TX^{1,0}$  and  $v_J^{0,1} = \frac{1}{2}(v + iJv) \in TX_J^{1,0}$ . Similarly, we have decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} T^*X \otimes \mathbb{C} &= T^*X_J^{1,0} \oplus T^*X_J^{0,1}, \\ \Lambda^k T^*X &= \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega_J^{p,q}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $J'$  another almost complex structure close to  $J$ , we can view

$$\Omega_{J'}^{1,0} \subset T^*X \otimes \mathbb{C} = \Omega_J^{1,0} \oplus \Omega_J^{0,1}$$

as the graph of a linear map  $s : \Omega_J^{1,0} \rightarrow \Omega_J^{0,1}$ . Conversely, for such an  $s$  sufficiently small we can set

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{J'}^{1,0} &:= \text{graph}(s), \\ \Omega_{J'}^{0,1} &:= \overline{\Omega_{J'}^{1,0}}, \end{aligned}$$

and then define the action of  $J'$  to be multiplication by  $i$  on  $\Omega_{J'}^{1,0}$  and by  $-i$  on  $\Omega_{J'}^{0,1}$ . Note that  $s$  can also be viewed as a section of

$$(\Omega_J^{1,0})^* \otimes \Omega_J^{0,1} \simeq T_J^{1,0} \otimes \Omega_J^{0,1}.$$

Of course, we want the deformation  $J'$  to be *integrable*. Recall that the almost complex structure  $J$  is integrable if and only if we have

$$[TX^{1,0}, TX^{1,0}] \subset TX^{1,0}.$$

Note that the Dolbeaut complex for  $TX_J^{1,0}$  on  $(X, J)$ , namely  $\bigoplus_q \Omega_X^{0,q} \otimes TX^{1,0}$ , carries a Lie bracket given by

$$[\alpha \otimes v, \alpha' \otimes v'] := (\alpha \wedge \alpha') \otimes [v, v'].$$

Using local coordinates, one can easily show:

**Proposition 2.1**  *$J'$  is integrable if and only if  $\bar{\partial}s + \frac{1}{2}[s, s] = 0$ .*

Recall that we also need to quotient by  $\text{Diff}(X)$ . Let  $\phi$  be a diffeomorphism of  $X$  which is close to the identity. We first remark that for  $\{z_i\}$  local holomorphic coordinates for  $(X, J)$ , one can check that a basis for the  $(1, 0)$ -forms corresponding to  $J'$  is given by

$$\{dz_i - s(dz_i)\}.$$

Decomposing  $d\phi$  into parts which commute and anticommute with  $J$ :

$$d\phi = \partial\phi + \bar{\partial}\phi,$$

we therefore have

$$\phi^* dz_i = dz_i \circ \partial\phi + dz_i \circ \bar{\partial}\phi = (dz_i \circ \partial\phi) \circ (\text{Id} + (\partial\phi)^{-1}\bar{\partial}\phi),$$

and hence corresponding to  $\phi^* J$  we have

$$s = -(\partial\phi)^{-1}\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

Putting this all together, consider a deformation  $J(t)$  of  $J$  with  $J(0) = J$ , corresponding to  $s(t) = ts_1 + t^2s_2 + t^3s_3 + \dots \in \Omega^{0,1}(X, TX^{1,0})$ . Assuming the family  $J(t)$  is integrable, the equation

$$\bar{\partial}s(t) + \frac{1}{2}[s(t), s(t)] = 0$$

gives

$$\frac{1}{2}[ts_1 + t^2s_2 + \dots, ts_1 + t^2s_2 + \dots] + t\bar{\partial}s_1 + t^2\bar{\partial}s_2 + \dots = 0.$$

In particular, the first order part in  $t$  gives

$$\bar{\partial}s_1 = 0.$$

On the other hand, if  $\phi_t$  is a family of diffeomorphisms of  $X$  with  $\phi_0 = \text{Id}$ , we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (-(\partial\phi_t)^{-1}\bar{\partial}\phi_t) = - \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\bar{\partial}\phi_t) = -\bar{\partial}v,$$

where  $v \in \Gamma(TX)$  is the vector field generating the family  $\phi_t$ . In other words, first order deformations of  $(X, J)$  correspond to

$$\frac{\text{Ker}(\bar{\partial} : \Omega^{0,1}(X, TX^{1,0}) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,2})}{\text{Im}(\bar{\partial} : C^\infty(X, TX^{1,0}) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,1})} = H^1(X, TX^{1,0}).$$

Moreover, if  $(X^n, J)$  is Calabi-Yau with  $\Omega$  a holomorphic volume form, then  $\Omega$  gives an identification  $TX^{1,0} \simeq \wedge^{n-1}T^*X$ , and therefore we have

$$H^1(X, TX^{1,0}) \cong H^1(X, \wedge^{n-1}T^*X) \cong H^{n-1,1}(X, J).$$

A priori there may be obstructions to finding the higher order parts of  $s(t)$ . Namely, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}s_2 + \frac{1}{2}[s_1, s_2] &= 0, \\ \bar{\partial}s_3 + [s_1, s_2] &= 0, \\ \bar{\partial}s_4 + [s_1, s_3] + \frac{1}{2}[s_2, s_2] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

etc, and so there are obstructions lying in  $H^2(X, TX)$ . Happily, we have

**Theorem 2.2** (*Bogomolov-Tian-Todorov*) *For  $X$  a compact Calabi-Yau with  $H^0(X, TX) = 0$ , deformations of  $X$  are unobstructed.*

### 3 The Hodge Bundle

Partly for convenience, we now focus on three dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds. In this case, the cohomology groups  $H^3(X; \mathbb{C})$  glue together to form a bundle  $\mathcal{H}$ , the *Hodge bundle*, over the moduli space of complex structures. The Calabi-Yau forms, unique up a constant, form a line sub-bundle of the Hodge bundle. Moreover, by declaring integer cohomology classes to be flat sections, we get a connection the Hodge bundle, the *Gauss-Manin connection*.

It turns out that the position of the Calabi-Yau form (up to scaling) in  $H^3$  (locally) determines the complex structure. We can think of the Calabi-Yau form as determining a point in  $\mathcal{P}^{h^3-1}$ , where  $h^3$  is the dimension of  $H^3$ . Since the dimension of  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{cx}}(X)$  is only  $h^{2,1} = \frac{1}{2}h^3 - 1$ , this description of the complex structure is redundant. To find sharper coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{cx}}(X)$ , we first define a natural Hermitian metric  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$(\theta, \eta) = i \int \theta \wedge \bar{\eta}, \quad \theta, \eta \in H^3(M, \mathbb{C}).$$

Then we can find a ‘‘symplectic basis’’ of real integer three-forms  $\alpha_a, \beta^b$ ,  $a, b = 1, \dots, h^3/2$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_a, \alpha_b) &= (\beta^a, \beta^b) = 0 \\ (\alpha_a, \beta^b) &= i\delta_a^b, \end{aligned}$$

with dual basis  $A^a, B_b$ ,  $a, b = 1, \dots, h^3/2$ .

### 4 Periods and Coordinates on Moduli Space

We first discuss the coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{kah}}(\check{X})$ . If  $e_i$  is a basis for  $H^2(\check{X}, \mathbb{Z})$  with each  $e_i$  in the Kahler cone, we get local coordinates  $\check{q}_i$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{kah}}(\check{X})$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} [B + i\omega] &= \sum_i \check{t}_i e_i, \\ \check{q}_i &= \exp(2\pi i \check{t}_i) \in \mathbb{C}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now to construct coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{cp}}(X)$ , let  $\Omega$  be a Calabi-Yau form. We consider the ‘‘period integrals’’

$$z^a = \int_{A^a} \Omega, \quad \omega_b = \int_{B^b} \Omega.$$

It turns out that the complex structure is locally determined by just the  $z^a$ . In fact, there are  $h^3/2$  of them, while the moduli space is only of dimension  $h^{2,1} = h^3/2 - 1$ . However, the Calabi-Yau form  $\Omega$  is only well-defined up to scaling, so we view the  $z^a$  as homogenous local coordinates.

Now suppose  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow (D^*)^s$  is a *LCSL*. If  $h^{n-1,1} = s = 1$ , this equivalent to the monodromy  $\phi_* \in \text{Aut}(H^n(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z}))$  around 0 being *maximally unipotent*, i.e.

$$(\phi_* - \text{Id})^k = 0$$

for  $k = n + 1$  but not for  $k < n + 1$ . For  $s > 1$  there is a more involved definition in terms of the Jordan decompositions of the various induced monodromy actions on  $H^n(X_{t_0}, \mathbb{Z})$  corresponding to loops in  $(D^*)^s$ . Let  $\Omega$  be such that  $\int_{B_0} \Omega = 1$ . Then setting  $q_i = \exp(2\pi i \omega_i)$  defined *canonical coordinates*  $q_i$  on  $(D^*)^s$  (which of course are only canonical after a basis is chosen).

## 5 Yukawa Couplings

On the *A* side, the Yukawa couplings are given as follows. For  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in H^{1,1}(\check{X})$ ,

$$\langle \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle := \int_X \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 + \sum_{0 \neq \beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} n_\beta \int_\beta \omega_1 \int_\beta \omega_2 \int_\beta \omega_3 \frac{e^{2\pi i \int_\beta \omega}}{1 - e^{2\pi i \int_\beta \omega}},$$

where  $n_\beta$  is defined in terms of Gromov-Witten invariants and is roughly the “number of holomorphic spheres in  $\check{X}$  of class  $\beta$ ”. The numbers  $n_\beta$  contain deep information about the arithmetic properties of  $\check{X}$ .

On the *B* side, the Yukawa coupling for  $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle \in H^1(X, TX)$  is given by

$$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \rangle := \int_X \Omega \wedge (\theta_1 \cdot \theta_2 \cdot \theta_3 \cdot \Omega),$$

using the composition

$$S^3 H^1(X, TX) \otimes H^0(X, \Omega^3 X) \rightarrow H^3(X, \Lambda^3 TX \otimes \Omega^3 X) \simeq H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq H^{0,3}(X).$$

Equivalently, this is

$$\int_X \Omega \wedge (\nabla_{\theta_1} \nabla_{\theta_2} \nabla_{\theta_3} \Omega),$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Gauss-Manin connection.

**Remark 5.1** Using  $z^a$  and  $\omega_b$ , we define the “prepotential”  $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$\mathcal{G} := z^a \omega_a.$$

Then using  $\mathcal{G}$  we can recover the Yukawa couplings

$$\kappa_{a,b,c} = \langle \chi_a, \chi_b, \chi_c \rangle,$$

where  $\chi_a$  is the  $(2, 1)$  part of  $\partial_a \Omega$  (considered as an element of  $H^1(TM)$ ), by

$$\kappa_{a,b,c} = \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c \mathcal{G}.$$

## 6 Mirror Symmetry for the Quintic Threefold

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4$  be a smooth quintic hypersurface, i.e. the zero set of a homogeneous degree 5 polynomial. Then  $V$  is Calabi-Yau. If  $H$  denotes the hyperplane class, the  $A$ -model Yukawa coupling formula becomes

$$\langle H, H, H \rangle = 5 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} n_d d^3 \frac{q^d}{1 - q^d}$$

where  $q = \exp(2\pi i \int_l \omega)$ ,  $l$  is a line in  $V$ , and  $\omega = B + iJ$  is a complexified Kahler class on  $V$ . The first few values of  $n_d$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= 2,875 \\ n_2 &= 609,250 \\ n_3 &= 317,206,375 \\ n_4 &= 242,467,530,000, \end{aligned}$$

etc.

**Remark 6.1** *Although  $n_d$  is indeed the number of rational curves of degree  $d$  in  $V$  for  $d \leq 9$ , in general the enumerative content of  $n_d$  is more subtle. In particular,  $n_{10}$  does not give the number of degree 10 rational curves on  $V$ , as double covers of nodal rational curves contribute more than expected.*

One of the most striking early applications of mirror symmetry to mathematics was the computation of the above expression using the  $B$ -model couplings on the mirror of  $V$ . In this last section we explain the mirror of  $V$  and how to get the mirror map.

Recall that the Hodge diamond of  $V$  is given by  $h^{1,1}(V) = 1$  and  $h^{2,1}(V) = 101$ . Therefore the mirror  $\check{V}$  should satisfy  $h^{2,1}(\check{V}) = 1$  and hence live in a one-parameter family of Calabi-Yau manifolds. We describe it as a resolution of singularities of a family of hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4/G$ , where

$$G = \{(a_1, \dots, a_5) \in (\mathbb{Z}/5)^5 \mid \sum_i a_i \cong 0 \pmod{5}\} / (\mathbb{Z}/5),$$

and  $g = (a_1, \dots, a_5)$  acts by

$$g \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_5) = (\mu^{a_1} x_1, \dots, \mu^{a_5} x_5)$$

for  $\mu = \exp(2\pi i/5)$ .

With parameter  $\psi$ , the hypersurfaces are defined by

$$x_1^5 + \dots + x_5^5 + \psi x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 = 0.$$

These hypersurfaces inherit singularities from  $\mathbb{CP}^4/G$ , and we let  $\check{V}_\psi$  be the result after simultaneously resolving the singularities. Now we observe that the map

$$(x_1, \dots, x_5) \mapsto (\mu^{-1}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_5)$$

induces an isomorphism  $\check{V}_\psi \simeq \check{V}_{\mu\psi}$ , and  $\psi^5$  is well-defined on the moduli space of complex structures on  $\check{V}_\psi$ . We set  $x = \psi^{-5}$  as a local coordinate for the complex moduli. The singularities of  $\check{V}_\psi$  occur for  $\psi = -5\mu^i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 4$ , and for  $\psi = \infty$ . In terms of  $x$ , they occur for  $x = -5^{-5}$  and  $x = 0$ .

Now since  $H$  generates  $H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})$ , we can write any complexified Kahler class as  $\omega = tH$  for  $t$  in the upper half plane. Since  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  is the quotient of  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$  by  $H^2(V, \mathbb{Z})$ , setting  $q = \exp(2\pi it)$  gives an isomorphism

$$q : K_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \simeq \Delta^*.$$

It turns out that the limit point  $0 \in \Delta$  corresponds to a LCSL. In the complex structure moduli space, the point  $x = 0$  has maximally unipotent monodromy and therefore should be the image of  $q = 0$ .

However, the mirror map  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{kah}}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{cx}}(\check{V})$  is *not* given by  $q = x$ . Rather, we put a coordinate  $\check{q}$  on  $\check{V}$  as follows. We claim that there is a minimal integral vanishing cycle  $\gamma_0$  near  $x = 0$  such that  $\gamma_0$  is invariant under monodromy, and that there is a minimal integral cycle  $\gamma_1$  which transforms under the monodromy about  $x = 0$  by  $\gamma_1 \mapsto \gamma_1 + \gamma_0$ . Then for  $\Omega$  a holomorphic 3-form, monodromy around  $x = 0$  gives the transformation

$$\int_{\gamma_1} \Omega / \int_{\gamma_0} \Omega \mapsto \int_{\gamma_1} \Omega / \int_{\gamma_0} \Omega + 1,$$

and we set

$$\check{q} = \exp(2\pi i \int_{\gamma_1} \Omega / \int_{\gamma_0} \Omega).$$

Then  $q$  and  $\check{q}$  correspond under the mirror map.

**Remark 6.2** *In order to equate the Yukawa couplings, the next step would be to find an expression for  $\check{q}$  in terms of  $x$ . To do this, we can use the fact that  $\int_{\gamma_0} \Omega$  and  $\int_{\gamma_1} \Omega$  are periods and therefore satisfy a Picard-Fuchs equation of the form*

$$y'''' + f_1 y''' + f_2 y'' + f_3 y' + f_4 y = 0,$$

*for the  $f_i$  functions of  $x$  and differentiation taken with respect to  $x$ . This comes from the fact that  $h^3(\check{V}) = 4$  and hence any 5 sections of the Hodge bundle must be linearly dependent. Using techniques from ordinary differential equations, we ultimately find that*

$$\check{q} = -(x - 770x^2 + \dots).$$



## References

- [Aur] Denis Auroux. Math 277 - Section 3 - Topics in Differential Geometry, 2009. UC Berkeley course lecture notes, <http://math.berkeley.edu/~auroux/277F09/>.
- [CK] David A Cox and Sheldon Katz. *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*. Number 68. American Mathematical Soc., 1999.