# Nagata, Segre-Harbourne-Gimigliano-Hirschowitz, Degenerations

Rick Miranda, Colorado State University

joint work with C. Ciliberto (U Rome II) J. Roé (UA Barcelona)

Geneva September 22, 2025

### Outline

Basics

2 Three Conjectures

3 Degeneration Approaches

## General setup

- Fix *n* general points  $\{p_i = (x_i, y_i)\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and integers  $\{m_i\}$ .
- Polynomial f of degree d: f has multiplicity m at p: f and all partial derivatives up to order m-1 vanish at p
- What is the dimension of the space of polynomials f of degree d with multiplicity at least  $m_i$  at  $p_i$  for each i?

## General setup

- Fix *n* general points  $\{p_i = (x_i, y_i)\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and integers  $\{m_i\}$ .
- Polynomial f of degree d: f has multiplicity m at p: f and all partial derivatives up to order m-1 vanish at p
- What is the dimension of the space of polynomials f of degree d with multiplicity at least  $m_i$  at  $p_i$  for each i?
- Not known in general. Known for small  $n \leq 9$ . Known for low d. Known for low  $m_i$ .
- What is the lowest degree d for which such a (nonzero) polynomial exists?
- Not known....

#### **Dimension Counts**

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be this linear system:  $|d; m_1, \ldots, m_n|$  (These are the coordinates in the Picard Group of the blowup: Pic  $\cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ .

Virtual Dimension:

$$\mathsf{vdim}(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{d(d+3)}{2} - \sum_i \frac{m_i(m_i+1)}{2}$$

- If  $vdim(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ , then a curve exists.
- This number can be negative, so we define the *Expected Dimension*:

$$\operatorname{expdim}(\mathcal{L}) = \max\{\operatorname{vdim}(\mathcal{L}), -1\}$$

• And of course we have the *actual dimension*:  $dim(\mathcal{L})$ .

#### The Intersection Form

For  $\mathcal{L} = [d; m_1, \ldots, m_n]$  or  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{L}$ :

- Self-intersection:  $\mathcal{L}^2 = C^2 = d^2 \sum_i m_i^2$ .
- $K = [-3, -1, -1, \dots, -1)$  the 'canonical class' (or canonical system)
- $\bullet$   $-K = |3; 1^n|$  the anticanonical system
- $C \cdot (-K) = 3d \sum_i m_i$

Basics

For  $\mathcal{L} = [d; m_1, \ldots, m_n]$  or  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{L}$ :

- Self-intersection:  $\mathcal{L}^2 = C^2 = d^2 \sum_i m_i^2$ .
- $K = [-3, -1, -1, \dots, -1]$  the 'canonical class' (or canonical system)
- $\bullet$   $-K = |3; 1^n|$  the anticanonical system
- $C \cdot (-K) = 3d \sum_i m_i$
- Virtual dimension: If  $vdim(\mathcal{L}) = (C^2 C \cdot K)/2$ .
- (Note:  $C^2 C \cdot K$  is always an even number.)
- $\operatorname{vdim}(\mathcal{L}) < \operatorname{expdim}(\mathcal{L}) < \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{L})$
- Naive Conjecture:  $\dim(\mathcal{L}) = \exp\dim(\mathcal{L})$ .
- This is false, due to the presence of....

## (-1)-curves

- An irreducible curve E is a (-1)-curve if  $E^2 = E\dot{K} = -1$ .
- Example: A line through two points.
- Example: A conic through five points.
- Numerically,  $E^2 EK = 0$  so the curve will exist.
- Let C = 2E. Then  $C^2 = -4$  and  $C \cdot K = -2$  so  $C^2 C \cdot K = -2$ .
- Hence vdim(calL) = expdim(L) = −1: The curve 2E should not exist.
- But it does!

## Segre's Conjecture

#### Conjecture

If  $\mathcal{L}$  contains an irreducible curve, then  $\dim(\mathcal{L}) = \exp\dim(\mathcal{L})$ .

This is not known for  $n \ge 10$ .

## SHGH Conjecture

A refinement was developed: the Segre-Harbourne-Gimigliano-Hirschowitz Conjecture:

#### Conjecture

If  $\dim(\mathcal{L}) > \operatorname{expdim}(\mathcal{L})$ , then there exists a (-1)-curve E such that  $C \cdot E < -2$ .

This implies that if g is the equation of the (-1)-curve E, then  $g^2$ is a factor of every polynomial defining elements of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

We say "2E splits off  $\mathcal{L}$ "

This is not known for n > 10.

## Nagata's Conjecture

In the development of the theory that led to Nagata's approach to Hilbert's 14-th problem, he made the following

#### Conjecture (Nagata's Conjecture)

If  $n \ge 10$ , and  $\sqrt{n}d \le \sum_i m_i$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  is empty.

Nagata proved this for n a perfect square, at least 9.

## Nagata's Conjecture

In the development of the theory that led to Nagata's approach to Hilbert's 14-th problem, he made the following

#### Conjecture (Nagata's Conjecture)

If  $n \ge 10$ , and  $\sqrt{n}d \le \sum_i m_i$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  is empty.

Nagata proved this for n a perfect square, at least 9.

#### Conjecture (Nagata for uniform multiplicities)

If  $n \geq 10$  and all multiplicities  $m_i = m$ , and if  $d \leq \sqrt{n}m$ , then  $\mathcal L$  is empty.

It is not known for any  $n \ge 10$ , which is not a perfect square.

## Degeneration Methods

- A promising way to attack these problems: by using a degeneration of the problem.
- By general principles of semi-continuity, the dimension of a degenerate solution is at least the dimension of the general solution.
- SO: if the degenerate configuration has the expected dimension, then so must the general situation:

$$\operatorname{expdim}(\mathcal{L}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{L}) \leq \dim(\mathcal{L}_0) = (?) \operatorname{expdim}(\mathcal{L})$$

• There are many ways to make degenerations of the problem.

#### Horace Method

- Hirschowitz (1980's) developed the *Horace Method* for computing  $\dim(\mathcal{L})$  in certain cases.
- The idea: put the points in some special position so that some irreducible curve splits off (because it will will intersect the system in too many points).
- Analyze the residual system
- Use Induction
- Successful for low multiplicities. Famous Theorem for m=2 (even in higher dimensions).

## Combinatorial Approaches

Basics

- This is best illustrated with m = 2, double points.
- Curves of degree d: hyperplane sections of the Veronese V
- Curves of degree d with a double point at p: the hyperplane must be tangent to V at p.
- So if you want double points at  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ , then you look for a hyperplane tangent to V at all of these points.
- Degenerate the Veronese to a union of  $d^2$  planes, meeting only at the coordinate points of the ambient space (which has dimension (d+1)(d+2)/2).
- Degenerate the points to go to n points, at most one on a plane.
- A hyperplane tangent to  $V_0$  at one of these points must contain the plane.
- If the chosen *n* planes where you put the points are all disjoint, then the system will have the right dimension.



## Degenerating the plane

- Suppose that you find a flat family  $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta$  such that general fiber  $X_t$  ( $t \neq 0$ ) is an *n*-fold blowup of the plane, but the special fiber  $X_0 = \sum V_i$  is a divisor with local normal crossings, reduced, etc.
- One can generalize the combinatorial approach and look for applications of semicontinuity.
- I.e., to show  $\mathcal{L}_t$  is empty, show that the limit linear system is empty.
- One defines a bundle on the threefold  $\mathcal{X}$ , whose restriction to  $X_t$  is  $\mathcal{L}_t$ .
- Analyze the restriction  $\mathcal{L}_0$  as a bundle on  $X_0$ .

## Central Effectivity

Basics

- Easiest / crudest way:
- ullet Build the bundle  ${\mathcal L}$  on  ${\mathcal X}$
- All limit bundles on  $X_0 = \bigcup_i V_i$  are of the form  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_i t_i V_i)$  for "twisting" integers  $t_i$ .
- Restricting these to  $X_0$  give many limit bundles, parametrized by the  $\{t_i\}$
- (Not hard to see: these are all the limit bundles.)
- Lemma: If you have a curve in the general fiber, the limit of this curve in the degenerate fiber will exist, and will give an effective divisor in each component  $V_i$ .

#### Corollary

If, for every collection of twists  $\{t_i\}$ , the line bundle restricted to some component  $V_i$  of  $X_0$  is not effective, then  $\mathcal{L}_t$  is empty.

## Matching

- More effective:
- ullet The limit bundle  $\mathcal{L}_0$  on  $X_0$  is basically defined by a bundle  $L_j$  on each component  $V_j$
- Matching condition: the restriction of  $L_j$  on  $V_j$  and  $L_k$  on  $V_k$  to the double curve  $V_j \cap V_k$  must be the same.
- Hence one can compute  $H^0(X_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$  as the subspace of  $\bigoplus_j H^0(V_j, L_j)$  satisfying these conditions that the sections have to match on the double curves.

#### Corollary

If this subspace (of sections on each component that match on the double curves) is trivial, then  $\mathcal{L}_t$  is empty.

## Refined Matching

- Most effective:
- For a collection of sections that agree on the double curves, it is an extra condition that this section deforms to a section on the general fiber.
- There are some (somewhat complicated) conditions, depending especially on the singularities of the curves along the double curves.
- Analyzing these carefully, one arrives at a notion of Refined Matching Conditions: the conditions that the sections can deform.

#### Corollary

If none of the sections of this subspace (of sections on each component that match on the double curves) satisfy the refined matching conditions, then  $\mathcal{L}_t$  is empty.

## Best approximation to Nagata

#### Theorem

(Ciliberto-Dumitrescu-M.-Roé) If  $d \le 117/37$ , then  $|d; m^{10}|$  is empty.

(We note that 117/37 = 3.162162162 and  $\sqrt{10} = 3.162277660$ .) The proof uses a refined matching approach. (I think this was improved in the last ten years, slightly.)

Thank you!